wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Ricardo Frausto-Barajas		Case Number: <u>08-6136M</u>
and was repres		142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 14, 2008. Defendant was presen onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant cor	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal h	istory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	opear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The Co at the time of the	ne hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour ted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defen No condition or combination of cond DIRECTI	dant will flee. itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. ONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United St	cility separate, to the extent practicable fendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the e United States Marshal for the purpo	f the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a count ne Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the use of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS FU Services suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release tently in advance of the hearing befor potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE	ED this 15 th day of May, 2008	
	Ur	David K. Duncan hited States Magistrate Judge